



KARNATAKA'S AI AMBITION: BEYOND SILICON VALLEY - A POLICY PERSPECTIVE

Asif M. Toragall

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Author Details:

Assistant Professor, Department of
Commerce, BMHEC's CSI College of
Commerce, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author:

Asif M. Toragall

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.70096/tssr.260404031>

Abstract

Karnataka's ambition to emerge as India's leading artificial intelligence hub extends beyond the expansion of startups and technology investment. While Bengaluru's established innovation ecosystem provides a significant advantage, the next phase of AI-driven growth will depend on the state's ability to strengthen research capacity, develop future-ready talent, encourage interdisciplinary collaboration, and build public confidence in the responsible use of emerging technologies. This perspective contends that sustainable AI leadership cannot be achieved through technological dynamism alone; it requires a balanced ecosystem in which universities, industry, government, and civil society work in concert. Particular attention is given to the importance of ethical governance, institutional preparedness, and the inclusion of emerging regional centres in Karnataka's innovation trajectory. The discussion highlights the need for a coordinated and long-term approach that enables AI-enabled development to remain globally competitive while also being socially inclusive, regionally balanced, and grounded in principles of transparency, accountability, and public trust.

Keywords: *Karnataka; Artificial Intelligence; Innovation; Ecosystem; Higher Education*

From IT Leadership to AI Leadership

For more than two decades, Bengaluru has symbolised India's technological transformation. Its success as the country's Silicon Valley has been built on software exports, engineering talent, multinational technology firms, and a thriving startup ecosystem, positioning Karnataka at the centre of India's digital economy (Government of Karnataka, 2024). However, the emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) is fundamentally redefining what technological leadership means. Unlike the information technology revolution, where competitiveness was measured by outsourcing capabilities and software services, AI leadership is increasingly determined by research excellence, computational infrastructure, advanced talent, responsible governance, and the ability to translate innovation into economic and societal value (OECD, 2024). In this new landscape, attracting startups alone is no longer sufficient.

Karnataka's AI Advantage: From Technology Hub to Innovation Ecosystem

Karnataka undoubtedly begins this transition with considerable advantages. Alongside Bengaluru's innovation ecosystem, the state benefits from globally recognised institutions such as the Indian Institute of Science (IISc) and the International Institute of Information Technology Bangalore (IIIT-B), a rapidly expanding network of Global Capability Centres, a growing semiconductor ecosystem, and supportive public policies (Government of Karnataka, 2024; NITI Aayog, 2025). Together, these strengths provide an exceptional foundation for AI-driven growth. Yet a strong foundation should not be mistaken for long-term leadership. The defining challenge is whether Karnataka can evolve from being a successful adopter of AI technologies into a creator of globally significant AI research, products, and public value. Achieving that ambition requires a broader vision than technological investment alone. AI leadership ultimately depends on the quality of universities, the strength of research ecosystems, the availability of advanced computing infrastructure, and sustained collaboration between academia, industry, and government (NITI Aayog, 2025).

Talent, Governance and Inclusive AI Development

Universities must move beyond producing graduates capable of using AI tools to nurturing researchers, innovators, and entrepreneurs who expand the frontiers of artificial intelligence. Equally important is the need for responsible governance. As AI becomes embedded in healthcare, agriculture, education, finance, manufacturing, and public administration, issues of

transparency, privacy, bias, cybersecurity, and accountability become central to public trust (UNESCO, 2023). States that establish credible governance frameworks alongside technological innovation are more likely to achieve sustainable leadership than those pursuing rapid adoption without adequate safeguards (UNESCO, 2023; World Economic Forum, 2025). Inclusion also deserves equal attention. Karnataka's technological achievements have been concentrated primarily within Bengaluru, raising an important question: can students, researchers, startups, and public institutions in Hubballi–Dharwad, Belagavi, Kalaburagi, Shivamogga, Mysuru, and Mangaluru participate meaningfully in the state's AI transformation? AI leadership cannot be judged solely by the number of startups or investment announcements; it must also be reflected in the equitable distribution of opportunities, research capacity, and digital infrastructure (NITI Aayog, 2025, World Economic Forum, 2025). Otherwise, Karnataka risks becoming an efficient consumer of AI technologies rather than a leading creator of them. Karnataka therefore stands at a defining moment in its technological journey. Replicating the formula that established Bengaluru as India's IT capital will not be sufficient in the AI era. The state's greatest opportunity lies in redefining technological leadership through research excellence, future-ready talent, responsible governance, and inclusive regional development (OECD, 2024; NITI Aayog, 2025; World Economic Forum, 2025). If Karnataka succeeds in integrating these pillars into a coherent innovation ecosystem, it can move beyond its identity as India's Silicon Valley and emerge as a model for AI-led growth that is globally competitive, ethically grounded, and socially inclusive (Government of Karnataka, 2024; NITI Aayog, 2025; UNESCO, 2023; World Economic Forum, 2025). The question is not whether Karnataka can adopt artificial intelligence faster than others, but whether it can shape an AI future that creates lasting value for the state, the nation, and beyond.

Conclusion

Karnataka's AI ambition will be shaped not by technology investment alone, but by its ability to integrate research excellence, future-ready talent, responsible governance, and regional inclusion into a coherent innovation ecosystem. Bengaluru provides a strong foundation, yet sustainable leadership requires broader participation from universities, public institutions, and emerging regional centres across the state. If Karnataka can balance technological advancement with transparency, public trust, and equitable access to opportunities, it has the potential to become not only India's AI capital but also a model for inclusive and ethically grounded AI-driven development.

Acknowledgment: No

Author's Contribution: *Asif M. Toragall*: Literature Review, Data Collection, Methodology, Analysis, Drafting, Referencing;

Funding: No

Declaration: The author has given consent for the publication.

Competing Interest: No

References:

1. Government of Karnataka. (2024). *Karnataka artificial intelligence roadmap 2024–2029: A policy perspective on AI-driven innovation and governance*. Department of Electronics, Information Technology, Biotechnology and Science & Technology, Government of Karnataka.
2. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2024). *OECD framework for artificial intelligence governance and trustworthy AI*. OECD Publishing.
3. NITI Aayog. (2025). *IndiaAI mission: Building India's AI ecosystem*. Government of India.
4. UNESCO. (2023). *Guidance for generative AI in education and research: Ethical and governance considerations for AI adoption*. UNESCO Publishing.
5. World Economic Forum. (2025). *The future of jobs report 2025: Technology, artificial intelligence, and workforce transformation*. World Economic Forum.

Publisher's Note

The Social Science Review A Multidisciplinary Journal remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published data, map and institutional affiliations.

©The Author(s) 2026. Open Access.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>