



SHAPING HUMANITY'S DESTINY: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF MARY WOLLSTONECRAFT'S RATIONALISM AND AUROBINDO GHOSH'S SPIRITUAL INTEGRALISM

Moumita Kundu ¹  & Surajit Mahato ² 

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Author Details:

¹ Assistant Professor,
Dishari College of Education,
Purba Bardhaman, West Bengal, India;

² Research Scholar,
Department of Education,
Sidho-Kanho-Birsha University,
Purulia, West Bengal, India

Corresponding Author:

Surajit Mahato

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.70096/tssr.250304001>

Abstract

This paper comparatively analyzes the philosophical contributions of Mary Wollstonecraft (1759–1797) and Aurobindo Ghosh (1872–1950), two influential thinkers from distinct cultural and historical contexts. While Wollstonecraft, a key figure of the Enlightenment, championed reason, education, and human rights to advocate for women's emancipation and societal reform. Ghosh, an Indian philosopher and yogi, proposed an "Integral Philosophy" centered on spiritual evolution, holistic education, and a transformed human unity. This study explores their respective visions for human potential, individual development, and societal progress, highlighting convergences in their commitment to human betterment and divergences in their foundational principles – rational materialism versus spiritual integralism. By examining their major works and core ideas, this paper illuminates how their unique intellectual frameworks shaped their approaches to education, social transformation, and the ultimate destiny of humanity.

Keywords: *Aurobindo Ghosh, Mary Wollstonecraft, Integral Yoga, Human Evolution, Social Reform, Spiritual Integralism, Reason, Rights of Woman*

Introduction

This study undertakes a comparative analysis of the philosophical contributions of Mary Wollstonecraft and Aurobindo Ghosh, two profoundly influential thinkers who, despite vastly different historical and cultural backgrounds, offered compelling visions for human potential and societal advancement. Mary Wollstonecraft, an English authoress and philosopher of the late 18th century, is widely recognized as a foundational figure in feminist thought and a significant moral and political philosopher of the early modern era. Her seminal works, *Vindication of the Rights of Men* (1790) and *Vindication of the Rights of Woman* (1792), courageously addressed controversial issues surrounding class and gender, employing a voice of rebellion that garnered her recognition even in her own time. Beyond her substantial contributions to feminism, her influence also extended to shaping the genre of travel writing.

In contrast, Aurobindo Ghosh, an Indian philosopher, yogi, and nationalist of the late 19th and early 20th centuries, embarked on a remarkable journey from a passionate political activist and freedom fighter to an introspective philosopher and yogi. His life's narrative is characterized by a seamless fusion of spirituality and practicality, culminating in his distinctive Integral Yoga philosophy, which represents a synthesis of Eastern mysticism and Western thought. Ghosh's contributions have profoundly influenced Indian thought, particularly in the realm of educational systems.

Beyond these two influential figures, similar aspirations for human and societal betterment resonate across diverse philosophical traditions. In India, other profound thinkers like Rabindranath Tagore championed holistic education and cultural revival, emphasizing the synthesis of Eastern and Western ideals for universal human development. Mahatma Gandhi, a contemporary of Aurobindo, spearheaded a non-violent movement for social and political liberation, advocating for self-rule (Swaraj) and the moral upliftment of individuals through truth and non-violence. Vivekananda, a spiritual leader, propagated the idea of practical Vedanta, aiming for the spiritual and material upliftment of the masses through education and service.

In the Western world, the Enlightenment era, which influenced Wollstonecraft, also saw figures like Jean-Jacques Rousseau, who, despite his controversial views on women, profoundly influenced educational philosophy by emphasizing natural development and the importance of individual freedom. Later, social reformers like John Dewey in the United States advocated for progressive education, seeing schools as vital instruments for social reform and democratic participation. Similarly, figures like Jane Addams, a pioneer in social work and women's rights, actively worked towards community improvement and social justice, highlighting the interconnectedness of individual well-being and societal structures.

The purpose of this paper is to comparatively analyze the philosophical contributions of Mary Wollstonecraft and Aurobindo Ghosh, specifically focusing on their perspectives regarding human potential, individual development, and societal progress. The paper will first delve into Wollstonecraft's Enlightenment-rooted philosophy, detailing her contributions to feminism, reason, education, and human rights. Subsequently, it will explore Ghosh's integral spiritual framework, focusing on his Integral Yoga, spiritual evolution, nationalism, and educational philosophy. A dedicated comparative analysis section will then explore their convergences and divergences concerning education, the role of reason versus spirituality, and their proposed catalysts for individual and societal transformation. The paper will conclude by summarizing key comparative insights and reiterating the enduring relevance of their distinct yet complementary contributions to human thought.

Mary Wollstonecraft: Foundations of Enlightenment Thought

Mary Wollstonecraft's philosophical contributions are deeply embedded in the Enlightenment tradition, championing reason, individual liberty, and the transformative power of education. Her work laid critical groundwork for modern liberal thought and feminism, challenging the prevailing social and political norms of her era.

Feminism and Women's Rights

Wollstonecraft's most celebrated work, *A Vindication of the Rights of Woman* (1792), stands as a foundational text in feminist philosophy. In this work, she powerfully argued that women were inadequately prepared for their societal roles and were constrained by false expectations, leading to widespread unhappiness. She advocated for women to become rational and independent beings, asserting that their self-worth should be derived from self-command and knowledge rather than from mere physical appearance or superficial accomplishments. Her beliefs provided the intellectual framework for subsequent feminist movements, driving the push for women's rights, independence, and the recognition of their inherent intellectual capacities. Her writings challenged traditional norms and ignited crucial discussions about gender equality, significantly impacting the discourse on women's rights and empowerment.

A significant aspect of Wollstonecraft's approach to women's emancipation involved a strategic redefinition of key societal terms. For instance, she deliberately shifted the meaning of "virtue" away from its traditional gendered associations, tying it instead to "humanhood" and, by extension, to "independence". This was not merely a semantic exercise but a calculated rhetorical strategy. By re-appropriating "virtue," a concept historically associated with masculine rationality and moral strength, she effectively reframed it to encompass women, thereby legitimizing women's capacity for reason and moral agency. This sophisticated subversion of prevailing patriarchal language to advance her feminist agenda demonstrates a nuanced understanding of how discourse shapes societal norms and can be leveraged for social change.

While Wollstonecraft's philosophical foundation was rooted in universalist Enlightenment ideals, asserting that all human beings, including women, were "put here to develop their faculties", her practical advocacy often presented a tension. Her feminist ideology was firmly "founded on Enlightenment rights," calling for a broader social shift in the status of women. However, she frequently "targeted many of her assertions... at enfranchised males" and, at times, faced accusations of "misogyny and male identification" for advocating that women pursue "the same standards as men". This highlights a dynamic where, while her underlying philosophical premise was universal (rights for all humans), her immediate practical objective was specifically to integrate women into existing (often male-defined) structures of virtue and rationality, even as she critiqued the limitations imposed by those structures. This reveals a complex interplay between her desire for fundamental societal redefinition and the pragmatic necessity of working within existing frameworks.

Reason, Education, and Human Rights

Wollstonecraft's philosophy is profoundly rooted in rational materialism, drawing significantly from Lockean thought, which posits that the mind, for both women and men, is shaped by experience and education. In the intellectual climate of the 1790s, discussions about knowledge were central to the broader debates concerning humanity and rights. She passionately argued for equal educational opportunities for women, believing that access to quality education was essential for them to develop their intelligence, cultivate their rational faculties, and contribute effectively to society. She insisted that girls acquire "inner resources" for psychological independence and promoted universal benevolence as a primary virtue.

For Wollstonecraft, education was not an end in itself but a crucial means to achieving a broader societal transformation. She established a clear causal chain: education leads to the development of reason, which in turn enables active civic and public participation, ultimately contributing to societal betterment. This perspective underscores that education was intended to empower women to move beyond traditionally confined domestic roles and become recognized as full, rational, and productive citizens. She advocated for a practical, applied education, recommending subjects such as "Reading, writing, arithmetic, natural history, and some simple experiments in natural philosophy," complemented by "gymnastic plays in the open air".

Wollstonecraft's critique of societal structures, particularly those related to class and gender, was intrinsically linked to her vision of virtue. She argued that societal structures, whether based on class or gender, that deny individuals the "room to unfold" their faculties are inherently detrimental to the cultivation of true virtue. This suggests a holistic critique of oppressive systems, where the denial of fundamental rights and opportunities directly undermines the development of genuine human potential, leading to a morally degraded society. Furthermore, she theorized that the education of women's rationalities and passions would lead to the development of the "whole state body". She envisioned a more economically powerful state where the workforce could be

nearly doubled if educated women became self-sufficient. This direct link between women's education, their developed rationality, and tangible national economic prosperity provides a pragmatic and utilitarian justification for gender equality. It indicates that her advocacy was not merely idealistic but strategically framed to appeal to the practical, material interests of the state, demonstrating a sophisticated understanding of political economy in her era.

A notable evolution in Wollstonecraft's thought concerned the relationship between reason and passions. While her earlier works, particularly *A Vindication of the Rights of Woman*, emphasized the supreme rule of reason, her later experiences, including personal relationships and travels, led her to reconsider reason's singular power. She developed a more nuanced understanding of the interplay between reason, imagination, and the passions. Her later distinction between "passions," which she viewed as generally good and capable of driving reason, and "appetites," which she considered a sign of poor education, and her call for a "harmonious balance" between them, signify a philosophical maturation. This indicates a move towards a more integrated understanding of the human psyche, anticipating later philosophical developments that would challenge rigid rationalism.

Wollstonecraft's "rational materialism" implied that intelligence, capability, and virtue are not innate, fixed, or divinely ordained for one gender or social class, but are rather products of environmental input and cultivated through experience. If the mind is formed by experience, then providing equal, practical, and "experimental" education to women could fundamentally alter their intellectual capacities and, consequently, their social and economic roles. This directly challenged rigid social hierarchies and opened the door for social mobility based on cultivated merit and abilities, rather than birth or gender.

A particularly radical proposal from Wollstonecraft was her advocacy for nationalized education. She believed that boys and girls should be educated together in schools, a significant departure from prevailing norms and even the influential Lockean thought of her time. This was not merely about academic learning efficiency but fundamentally about fostering mutual respect, understanding, and the development of civic equality from a young age. If, as she believed, "virtue can only flourish amongst equals", then educating boys and girls together was a foundational step towards creating a society where men and women were truly civic equals, thereby dismantling the despotic power men held over women. This made co-education a crucial tool for social engineering towards moral and political transformation. Furthermore, she advocated for the development of national educational establishments to remove educational matters from overly single-sided parental choice. This was a mechanism for systemic gender equality and state-level moral improvement, reflecting her belief in the state's crucial role in fostering moral and civic virtue through public policy.

Her political writings, particularly *A Vindication of the Rights of Men* (1790) and *A Vindication of the Rights of Woman* (1792), are central to her contributions to human rights. She defended a Lockean conception of God-given rights discoverable by reason, arguing that rights entail duties, and that only institutions conforming to natural rights and God's justice merited respect.

Aurobindo Ghosh: An Integral Spiritual Vision

Aurobindo Ghosh, a towering figure in Indian philosophy, presented a unique spiritual vision that integrated diverse aspects of human existence, from political activism to profound spiritual realization. His philosophy, often termed 'Integral,' sought to bridge the chasm between the spiritual and material realms, aiming for a holistic transformation of individuals and society.

Integral Yoga and Spiritual Evolution

Aurobindo Ghosh is described as a multifaceted genius who transitioned from a political thinker and freedom fighter to a spiritual integralist. He illuminated a path to the highest spiritual growth, rooted in his philosophy of life, which included practices like *brahmacharya*, Yoga, and spiritual penance. Central to his philosophy is Integral Yoga, a comprehensive approach aimed at fostering a fulfilled and spiritually transformed life on Earth. This philosophy represents a significant departure from traditional Indian spiritual goals, as Ghosh explicitly rejected the pursuit of *moksha* (liberation from the cycle of death and rebirth) as the ultimate aim. Instead, he posited that terrestrial life itself, in its higher evolutionary stages, is the true purpose of creation. This unique emphasis on the divinization of earthly existence, rather than an escape from it, makes his philosophy distinctively 'integral' in its scope and application.

Ghosh believed that the individual soul is in a continuous process of evolution towards attaining the supreme soul, a transformation he believed was possible through *Purna Yoga*. He introduced the concept of the "supermind," which he envisioned as an intermediate power succeeding the principles of matter, life, and mind through terrestrial evolution, bridging the infinite and the finite. This "supermind" is not merely a higher state of consciousness but a crucial mechanism for manifesting divine values such as love, harmony, unity, and knowledge on Earth, thereby overcoming the resistance to divine manifestation. This highlights a practical, transformative aspect to his spiritual evolution, where higher consciousness actively shapes earthly reality.

Integral Yoga, for Ghosh, is a complex discipline designed to empower individuals to achieve a conscious identity with the Divine, their true Self. It aims to transform the psyche, life, and body, making them suitable instruments for a divine life on Earth. This holistic approach goes beyond mere spiritual attainment, encompassing a full transformation of human nature for a divine life on Earth, thereby serving as a comprehensive path for individual and collective evolution. Ghosh's spiritual thought heavily emphasizes the evolution of consciousness as a primary purpose of human existence, envisioning humanity's journey as a progression from states of ignorance and ego-driven existence to a state of self-awareness, unity, and divine realization. This concept extends beyond personal salvation, envisioning a larger cosmic evolution in which humanity actively participates.

Nationalism and Human Unity

Aurobindo Ghosh was a prominent figure in the Indian Independence Movement, initially aligning with the extremist faction within the Indian National Congress. He advocated for more assertive and radical methods, including direct and armed action, to counter British colonialism. However, his vision of nationalism transcended mere political freedom. He coined the term “Integral Nationalism,” emphasizing the need for India to embrace its spiritual and cultural heritage as a vital component of its national identity. He believed that true independence could only be achieved by reconnecting with India’s ancient wisdom and values. This suggests a profound belief that national identity and true independence are inseparable from a deeper spiritual and cultural reconnection, making his nationalism a unique blend of political and spiritual aspirations. He also linked the spiritual upliftment of the individual to the progress of the nation, viewing spirituality as a practical force for political and social transformation. Furthermore, he advocated for economic self-reliance to strengthen India’s global position and reduce dependence on colonial powers.

While the concept of “human unity” is implicitly linked to his Integral Yoga and the idea of a transformed life on Earth, Ghosh’s philosophy explicitly points towards it. His vision of a future consciousness expressing values like “love, harmony, unity, and knowledge” suggests human unity as a natural outcome of spiritual evolution. He believed that the individual and the Universe are Divine, both being manifestations of the eternal one, and that all beings are united in the great reality. This provides a metaphysical basis for human unity. It suggests that ultimate unity is not merely a social or political goal but an inherent truth of existence, achievable through spiritual evolution and the manifestation of the Divine on Earth. His major work, *The Ideal of Human Unity* (1949), directly addresses this topic.

Philosophy of Education

Ghosh’s educational philosophy is a direct application of his broader Integral Philosophy. He believed in integral education, which aims to lead individuals to their fullest potential through the harmonious development of their physical, vital, mental, psychic, and spiritual selves. This demonstrates how education, for Ghosh, is not just about intellectual growth but a holistic process designed to facilitate the individual’s spiritual evolution and realization of the supreme soul, reflecting his overarching philosophy of life. He translated these ideals into a practical system of education through his Pondicherry Ashram School, now known as Auroville.

His educational approach represented a synthesis of idealism, realism, naturalism, and pragmatism. Key principles of his educational philosophy include a learner-centric approach, provision for full freedom for the child, meeting the psychological needs of the child, bringing out latent powers, developing all faculties, and ensuring education is related to the child’s life. He advocated for mother tongue as the medium of instruction, emphasized sense training, consciousness development, and the integration of religious and spiritual education. The aims of education, according to Ghosh, included the development of latent potentialities, physical purity, mental faculties, culture, aesthetic senses, conscience (chitta, manas, knowledge, and intuition), moral and emotional development, spirituality through Yoga and *brahmacharya*, and ultimately, self-realization. The curriculum, he proposed, should be interesting, varied, include diverse activities, motivate learning, promote creative potential, and ensure the attainment of these aims. He envisioned the teacher’s role as a helper and guide in this integral education, fostering an international education based on universal love, sympathy, and peace.

Comparative Analysis: Convergences and Divergences

While Mary Wollstonecraft and Aurobindo Ghosh emerged from distinct intellectual traditions and cultural contexts, a comparative analysis reveals both profound convergences in their aspirations for humanity and significant divergences in their philosophical foundations and proposed methods for achieving societal transformation.

Shared Intellectual Frameworks: Education and Human Potential

A striking convergence between Wollstonecraft and Ghosh lies in their shared conviction that education is central to individual development and societal progress. Both thinkers, despite their different contexts, converged on education as the primary vehicle for societal betterment. Wollstonecraft saw education as crucial for cultivating rational, productive citizens and a stronger state, while Ghosh viewed it as essential for holistic individual and national spiritual evolution. This highlights a universal belief in education’s transformative power, transcending their respective cultural and philosophical divides.

Furthermore, both Wollstonecraft and Ghosh recognized and aimed to unlock inherent human capabilities, demonstrating a shared optimism about human perfectibility. Wollstonecraft focused on developing reason and virtue in women, enabling them to contribute fully to society. Ghosh, on the other hand, emphasized the development of all aspects of the self – physical, vital, mental, psychic, and spiritual – to reach the “supreme soul”. This shared belief in tapping into latent human potential underscores their common commitment to a more advanced and fulfilled humanity.

Divergent Foundational Principles: Rational Materialism vs. Spiritual Integralism

Despite their shared emphasis on education and human potential, the core philosophies of Wollstonecraft and Ghosh are fundamentally divergent. Wollstonecraft’s thought is rooted in Enlightenment rational materialism, where the mind is understood to be shaped by experience and education. Her philosophy implies that human potential is primarily cultivated through environmental input and rational development. In contrast, Ghosh’s philosophy is grounded in Vedanta and spiritual integralism,

viewing human evolution as a journey towards the “supreme soul” and the “supermind,” suggesting an inherent spiritual destiny and a cosmic unfolding of consciousness. This fundamental difference in their understanding of human nature and ultimate purpose underpins all other divergences in their thought.

These contrasting ontological foundations lead to differing views on the primary driver of human progress. Wollstonecraft emphasized reason and practical education as the means for societal advancement and economic productivity, aiming for a more rational and just social order. Her focus was on reforming external structures and empowering individuals through intellectual development to participate effectively in the existing world. Ghosh, conversely, prioritized spiritual evolution and the manifestation of the Supermind, believing that true societal transformation stems from a deeper, inner spiritual awakening. For him, external change would naturally follow from an internal, conscious transformation, rather than being primarily driven by rational reforms.

Approaches to Societal Transformation

The distinct foundational principles of Wollstonecraft and Ghosh naturally led to different approaches to societal transformation. Wollstonecraft’s approach was primarily socio-political and educational, aiming for incremental reforms and legal changes to achieve equality and a more rational society. She advocated for challenging gender norms, promoting economic participation for women, and implementing nationalized education to foster civic equality and virtue among equals. Her vision focused on restructuring society through enlightened policy and individual empowerment within that framework.

Ghosh’s vision, however, was more cosmic and spiritual, seeking a radical transformation of human nature itself through individual spiritual evolution, particularly via Integral Yoga. This internal transformation was intended to lead to a collective consciousness, human unity, and ultimately, a “divine life on Earth”. His “Integral Nationalism” was also viewed as a spiritual awakening, suggesting that national progress was tied to a deeper spiritual realization. This illustrates that while Wollstonecraft sought to perfect humanity within existing material and social realities, Ghosh aimed for a transfiguration of those realities through a spiritual ascent.

The role of the state in achieving transformation also differed between the two thinkers. Wollstonecraft saw the state as a key instrument for reform, particularly through nationalized education and the establishment of rights, believing it could actively engineer a more virtuous and just society. Ghosh, while deeply involved in nationalism and advocating for India’s independence, ultimately emphasized individual spiritual discipline (Yoga) as the primary engine for change. For him, the state’s role was more aligned with fostering a national spiritual identity and supporting the conditions for individual spiritual growth, rather than direct social engineering through policy. This highlights contrasting views on where the primary agency for societal change resides.

The Ultimate Destiny of Humanity

For Mary Wollstonecraft, the ultimate destiny of humanity was a society composed of rational, virtuous, and independent individuals, all civic equals, contributing to a prosperous and just state. Her vision was firmly rooted in the potential for human reason and moral development to create an ideal earthly society.

Aurobindo Ghosh, on the other hand, envisioned humanity evolving to the Supermind, a state of higher consciousness that would manifest divine values on Earth, leading to the realization of a “Life Divine on Earth”. This would culminate in a “perfect man in a perfect society”, a spiritual transformation of terrestrial existence itself.

Conclusion

Mary Wollstonecraft and Aurobindo Ghosh, though separated by continents and centuries, stand as intellectual giants whose philosophies profoundly shaped their respective eras and continue to resonate today. Wollstonecraft, a beacon of the Enlightenment, championed the power of reason, education, and human rights as the fundamental tools for liberating women and reforming society. Her work laid the groundwork for modern feminism and liberal thought, emphasizing that a society of rational, independent, and virtuous individuals, particularly women, was essential for national prosperity and moral integrity. Her strategic redefinition of virtue, her pragmatic economic arguments for women’s education, and her radical proposals for co-education and nationalized schooling underscore her comprehensive and forward-thinking approach to societal betterment.

Aurobindo Ghosh, conversely, offered a unique spiritual integralism, proposing a path of holistic evolution through Integral Yoga. He envisioned humanity’s journey as a progression towards a higher consciousness, the “supermind,” which would transform not just individuals but terrestrial life itself, leading to a “Life Divine on Earth.” His “Integral Nationalism” fused political liberation with spiritual and cultural awakening, demonstrating a profound belief in the spiritual destiny of nations and humanity as a whole. His educational philosophy, rooted in the harmonious development of all aspects of the self, served as a microcosm of his broader spiritual vision.

Despite their distinct philosophical foundations – Wollstonecraft’s rational materialism versus Ghosh’s spiritual integralism – both thinkers shared a profound commitment to human betterment and societal progress. They converged on the transformative power of education and the inherent potential within every individual, believing that unlocking these capacities was key to a more advanced humanity. Their divergences, particularly in the primary drivers of progress (reason vs. spiritual evolution) and the mechanisms of societal change (socio-political reform vs. inner transformation), offer complementary perspectives. Wollstonecraft’s emphasis on external, structural reforms and the cultivation of civic virtue through reason provides a robust framework for establishing equitable societies. Ghosh’s focus on internal, spiritual evolution and the manifestation of a divine

consciousness offers a path for deeper, existential transformation, aiming for a radical redefinition of human nature and its relationship with the cosmos.

In conclusion, the enduring relevance of their ideas lies in their complementary visions for human potential, education, and societal transformation. Wollstonecraft's legacy continues to inspire movements for equality and rational governance, while Ghosh's philosophy offers profound insights into holistic development and the spiritual destiny of humanity. Together, their contributions highlight the multifaceted pathways through which humanity can strive for progress, whether through the cultivation of reason and rights in the material world or through the ascent of consciousness towards a divine life on Earth.

Acknowledgment: No

Author's Contribution: Moumita Kundu: Literature Review, Methodology, Analysis, Drafting, Referencing and Surajit Mahato: Analysis, Drafting, Referencing

Funding: No

Declaration: All the authors have given consent for the publication.

Competing Interest: No

References

1. Abbey, R. (1999). Marriage as Friendship in the thought of Mary Wollstonecraft. *Hypathia*, 14(3), 78-95.
2. Abdullhussain, S. (2007). *Sri Aurobindo and the future psychology*. Puducherry: Sri Aurobindo International Centre of Education, Sri Aurobindo Ashram.
3. Abowitz, K. K. (1999). Reclaiming Community. *Educational Theory*, 49(2), 143-150.
4. Abrams, L. (2002). *Making of modern woman. Europe 1789-1917*. Great Britain: Pearson Education Limited.
5. Adhikari, A. (2023). Socio-educational perspectives: A study on human adjustment. *EPRA International Journal of Research & Development (IJRD)*, 8(1), 97-101. <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra12233>
6. Adhikari, A. (2024). A vision for change: Mary Wollstonecraft's ideas on education and society [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. Sidho-Kanho-Birsha University.
7. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2021). Demystifying social taboos in Indian milieu: A critical study on Arundhati Roy's *The God of Small Things*. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Educational Research*, 10(3), 4.
8. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2021). Healing the self with cathartic praxis of poetry: A critical study of John Donne's religious verse. *International Journal of English Language, Literature and Translation Studies*, 8(2), 82-88.
9. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2021). Lesser known Indian women educators and reformers. *International Journal of Research and Review*, 8(9), 442-447. <https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20210956>
10. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2021). Life, works and philosophy of Nel Noddings. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Educational Research*, 10(8)(2), 61-64. <http://ijmer.in.doi./2021/10.08.34>
11. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2021). Rationalizing Maria Montessori's teaching methods in global contexts: When education met a femme reformatrice. *European Academic Research*, 9(2), 1431-1439.
12. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2021). Understanding 'Shakespeare in India' in multicultural contexts: Criticism and performance. *International Journal of Current Advanced Research*, 10(6), 24622-24625.
13. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2021). Women participations in education and politics: A twenty first century scenario. *International Journal of Research in Social Science*, 11(4), 68-74.
14. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2021). Maria Montessori: An intellectual biography. *EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)*, 6(9), 242-245. <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra8535>
15. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2021). Self-nested prison of constraints: Feminism, theory, praxis and beyond. *International Journal of Research in Social Science*, 11(8), 46-58.
16. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2022). Contouring education: Ruminating Mary Wollstonecraft's thoughts. *IAR Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 3(4), 12-17.
17. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2022). Deconstructing Mary Wollstonecraft: Reconstructing modern woman. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Educational Research*, 11(7) (5), 90-94. <http://ijmer.in.doi./2022/11.07.84>
18. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2022). The context of sexuality in Mary Wollstonecraft. *Vidyawarta: Peer Reviewed International Journal*, 47(9), 176-187. <https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20230178>
19. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2022). The different voices of a feminist: A cogitation on Mary Wollstonecraft. *The Prism: A Peer-Reviewed Journal*, 14, 239-248.
20. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2022). The feminist responses to Mary Wollstonecraft: A reading. *EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)*, 7(9), 32-38. <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra11181>
21. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2023). The psyche and the society in Mary Wollstonecraft's works: A frame of reference. *Shodha Samiksha*, 13(2), 68-75.
22. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2023). Unravelling Wollstonecraft: A contemporary study. *Shodha Samiksha*, 13(1), 234-241.
23. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2023). Mary Wollstonecraft's reflection on life and society: A vindication of the rights of woman. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 4(11), 1338-1342.
24. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2023). The three epochs of education: Outlining Mary Wollstonecraft, Maria Montessori and Nel Noddings. *International Journal of Research and Review*, 10(1), 698-703.
25. Adhikari, A., & Saha, B. (2024). Biological liberty and pedagogy: Exploring Maria Montessori's Freedom with limits. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 5(6), 5471-5473.
26. Adhikari, A., Karim, M. R., Saha, B., & Sen, S. (2023). Trauma theory in Mary Wollstonecraft's *Maria*: or The wrongs of woman. *Conhecimento & Diversidade*, 15(37), 146-161. <https://doi.org/10.18316/rcd.v15i37.10936>

27. Adhikari, A., Saha, B., & Sen, S. (2023). Nel Noddings' theory of care and its ethical components. *International Research Journal of Education and Technology*, 5(8), 198-206.
28. Ahmed, M., & Godiyal, S. (2021). Study of educational and philosophical thought of Aurobindo Ghosh and its relevance in present education scenario. *Asian Basic and Applied Research Journal*, 3(1), 166-170
29. Almassi, B. (2022). *Masculinity in Early Feminist Philosophy*. In: Nontoxic: Masculinity, Allyship, and Feminist Philosophy. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham.
30. Ambirajan, S. (1995). Human Values and Consciousness: Towards a New Social Order in the Light of Sri Aurobindo: (Part I). *Journal of Human Values*, 1(1), 127-138. <https://doi.org/10.1177/097168589500100112>
31. Ansary, K., & Ansary, S. (2023). Socio-educational perspectives of women education and educationalists. *International Journal of Progressive Research in Engineering Management and Science (IJPREAMS)*, 3(9), 17-19.
32. Ansary, K., Pal, I., & Sutradhar, S. M. (2023). For the urge of a better future: A study on the great educators. *International Journal of Scientific Development and Research (IJS DR)*, 8(4), 2023-2025.
33. Botting, E. H. (2016). *Theories of Human Development: Wollstonecraft and Mill on Sex, Gender and Education*. Wollstonecraft, Mill and Women's Human Rights. Yale University Press.
34. Chakrabarti, D. (2022). Reinterpreting Sri Aurobindo's integralism: From mysticism to pragmatic spirituality. *Journal of Indian Philosophy and Religion*, 47(2), 145-163. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10671-022-09274-0>
35. Colgan, A. D. (2020). The Epistemology Behind the Educational Philosophy of Montessori: Senses, Concepts, and Choice. Philosophical Inquiry in Education. *The Journal of the Canadian Philosophy of Education Society*, 23(2), 125-140.
36. Craig, E. (2013). *Concise Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Routledge.
37. Dalal, A. S. (2007). *Sri Aurobindo and the future psychology*. Pondicherry: Sri Aurobindo International Centre for Education.
38. Dasgupta, S. (2024). Yoga and human evolution: The practical dimensions of Aurobindo's spiritual philosophy. *International Journal of Philosophy and Theology*, 39(1), 33-47. <https://doi.org/10.1080/22369378.2023.1859306>
39. Debbarma, S. (2017). A study on educational thoughts of Aurobindo Ghosh with relevance to present educational context. *Indian Journal of Applied Research*, 7(5), 558-559.
40. DeGroot, M. L. (2021). Wollstonecraft and the politics of reason: Re-envisioning social contract theory. *Journal of Feminist Philosophy*, 39(4), 242-260. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08038740.2021.1906989>
41. Ferguson, S. (1999). The Radical Ideas of Mary Wollstonecraft. *Canadian Journal of Political Science*, 32(3), 427-450.
42. Gayen, P. (2023). The weaker sex as the reformer of the society: Delineating Maria Montessori, Mary Wollstonecraft & Nel Noddings. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 4(9), 1334-1336.
43. Gayen, P., & Gayen, P. (2023). Educational thoughts of Western thinkers from philosophical viewpoint. *EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)*, 8(5), 49-52.
44. Gayen, P., Nayek, P., Sen, S., & Dandapat, M. (2021). Reading President APJ Kalam as the motivational youth icon. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary and Current Educational Research (IJM CER)*, 3(1), 120-124.
45. Ghosh, S. (2024). Women education: Looking briefly into Eastern and Western concepts. *The Social Science Review A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 2(5), 185-189. <https://doi.org/10.70096/tssr.240205029>
46. Ghosh, S., & Ansary, K. (2025). Bridging voices of social activists: Celebrating the legacy of eco-activists and women's rights reformers. *The Social Science Review A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 3(1), 74-79. <https://doi.org/10.70096/tssr.250301013>
47. Ghosh, S., & Roy, S. (2023). An insight into Rishi Aurobindo's thoughts on education: Assimilated views. *The Social Science Review A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 1(2), 89-96.
48. Ghosh, S., & Saha, B. (2024). Sri Aurobindo Ghosh's integral education: An education of the body, mind and the intellect. *The Social Science Review A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 2(6), 93-97. <https://doi.org/10.70096/tssr.240206016>
49. Griffiths, D. (2022). Feminism and rationalism: Wollstonecraft's vision for a just society. *Journal of Women's Studies*, 28(3), 185-202. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07320774.2022.1867541>
50. Howard, C. (2004). Wollstonecraft's Thoughts on Slavery and Corruption. *The Eighteenth Century*, 45(1), 61- 86.
51. Heehs, P. (2008). *The lives of Sri Aurobindo*. Columbia University Press.
52. Kar, S., & Sen, S. (2014). Attitude of women towards higher education – A case study in Birbhum district of West Bengal. *Golden Research Thoughts*, 4(3), 1-8.
53. Kirkley, L. (2022). Bibliography. In *Mary Wollstonecraft: Cosmopolitan* (pp. 246-262). Edinburgh University Press.
54. Maji, S., & Saha, B. (2012). Remembering Antique Master of Education: A Postmodern Reception of Milton's "Of Education". *Global Journal for Research Analysis*, 1(7), 1-2.
55. Maji, S., & Saha, B. (2013). Locating the Antique Master of Education: Twenty First Century Reception of Herbert Spencer. *Paripex – Indian Journal of Research*, 2(1), 45-46.
56. McDermott, R. A. (2001). *The essential Aurobindo: Writings of Sri Aurobindo*. Lindisfarne Books.
57. Mohanta, R. (2023). Participatory Gender Analysis: A Study on Women Participation in Societal Domains. *EPRA International Journal of Research and Development*, 8(3), 97-100.
58. Mohanta, R. (2025). Women intellectuals of the Western world: An educational perspective. *The Social Science Review A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 3(1), 62-67. <https://doi.org/10.70096/tssr.250301011>
59. Mondal, S. (2025). The role of Durgabai Deshmukh as a women's emancipator and the founder of the Andhra Mahila Sabha in Madras (AMS). *The Social Science Review A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 3(2), 144-149. <https://doi.org/10.70096/tssr.250302023>
60. Mondal, S., & Ghosh, S. (2025). Revisiting the pedagogical aspects of Nel Noddings: A critical study. *The Social Science Review A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 3(1), 51-55. <https://doi.org/10.70096/tssr.250301009>
61. Montessori, M. (1912). *The Montessori Method, Scientific Pedagogy as Applied to Child Education in "The Children's Houses" with Additions and Revisions by The Author*. (A. E. George, Trans.; 2nd ed.). Frederick A. Stokes Company (Original Work Published 1912).
62. Pandya, S. P. (2018). Auroville as an intentional spiritual community and the practice of Integral yoga. *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2018.1537079>
63. Pandit, A., Alam, S., Maji, S., & Saha, B. (2016). Science and Spirituality in the Life of Kalam. *Golden Research Thoughts*, 6(4), 1-5.

64. Paroi, S., & Saha, B. (2013). Call to Rise Above One-self: Dr. Sarvapalli Radhakrishnan as A Role Model for the Indian Teachers In 21st Century. *Indian Streams Research Journal*, 3(10), 1-3.
65. Rajeswari, T.S. (2019). Revisiting Sri Aurobindo's Views on Education and NEP 2020. *IJFANS International Journal of Food and Nutritional Sciences*, 8(3), 643-653.
66. Reddy, V. M. (1970). *The philosophy of Sri Aurobindo*. Andhra University Press.
67. Roy, S. (2023). A study on women and society in the light of education. *Galore International Journal of Applied Sciences and Humanities*, 7(2), 32-36. <https://doi.org/10.52403/gijash.20230205>
68. Roy, S. (2023). Gender analysis through education and literature. *Galore International Journal of Applied Sciences and Humanities*, 7(1), 52-56. <https://doi.org/10.52403/gijash.20230108>
69. Roy, S. (2023). Women of education: What do they have in common? *International Journal of Research and Review*, 10(10), 192-197. <https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20231025>
70. Roy, S., & Saha, B. (2021). The monk who preached education: Amalgamating educational and social thoughts of Swami Vivekananda. *IAR Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 2(6), 35-38. <https://doi.org/10.47310/iarrhss.v02i06.006>
71. Roy, S., Sutradhar, A., Mohanta, R., & Ansary, K. (2023). Collected thoughts of great educators the weapon of social advancement. *EPRA International Journal of Environmental Economics, Commerce and Educational Management*, 10(4), 1-4. <https://doi.org/10.36713/EPRA12830>
72. Saha, B. (2023). Feminism in Society, Art and Literature: An Introspection. *Galore International Journal of Applied Sciences and Humanities*, 7(1), 1-5.
73. Saha, B., & Maji, S. (2012). Conceptualizing Tagore's Idea of Education: Prakritir Patshala – Idea, Relevance and Prospect. *Golden Research Thoughts*, 2(5), 1-3.
74. Saha, B. and Maji, S. (2013). Remembering the ancient Master of Education: twentieth first century reception of Sri Aurobindo. *Indian Journal of Applied research*, 3(1), 36-37.
75. Saha, B., & Adhikari, A. (2023). The Montessori approach to the teaching-learning process. *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 11(3), 574-578. <https://doi.org/10.25215/1103.054>
76. Saha, B., & Adhikari, A. (2023). The Montessori method of education of the senses: The case of the Children's Houses. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 4(5), 6671-6673.
77. Saha, B., & Adhikari, A. (2023). Educational philosophy and practices of Mary Wollstonecraft and Nel Noddings. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 4(10), 664-667.
78. Saha, B., & Adhikari, A. (2023). The Montessori method: A constructivist approach. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development*, 6(3), 768-772.
79. Saha, B., Adhikari, A., & Sen, S. (2023). The perspectives of Noddings' theory of care: Critically analysing iconic works. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 4(9), 1349-1352.
80. Sahu, S. (2023). *Unfolding Feminism in India: Women, Power and Politics* (1st ed.). Routledge India. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003275411>
81. Sanyal, I., & Roy, K. (2007). *Sri Aurobindo and his contemporary thinkers*. Delhi: D.K. Print world.
82. Sethna, K. D. (1971). *The vision and work of Sri Aurobindo*. Sri Aurobindo Ashram.
83. Sen, S. (2023). Functionalism, socialism and feminism in education. *EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR)*, 9(4), 115-117.
84. Singh, J. (2021). Evolution and spirit: Aurobindo's view of human progress in the modern world. *Journal of South Asian Philosophy*, 19(3), 210-228. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00378931.2021.1864745>
85. Stone, O. M. (1972). The Status of Women in Great Britain. *The American Journal of Comparative Law*, 20(4), 591-621.
86. Taylor, B. (2003). *Mary Wollstonecraft and the feminist imagination*. Cambridge University Press.
87. Tickell, A. (2007). *Arundhati Roy's The God of Small Things: A Routledge study guide* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203004593>
88. Todd, J. (2000). *Mary Wollstonecraft: a Revolutionary Life*. Weidenfeld and Nicholson, London.
89. Tomalin, C. (1974). *The Life and Death of Mary Wollstonecraft*. London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson.
90. Tomaselli, S. (1987). *Mary Wollstonecraft: A revolutionary life*. Oxford University Press.
91. Valiev, L. (2023). Philosophical Views of Aurobindo Ghosh. *Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy and Culture*, 4(5), 24-28.
92. Wardle, R.M. (1967). *Mary Wollstonecraft: a Critical Biography*. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.
93. Wollstonecraft, M. (2010). *A Vindication of the rights of woman: with strictures on political and moral subjects*. The Floating press.
94. Wollstonecraft, M. (1790). *A vindication of the rights of men*. J. Johnson.
95. Wollstonecraft, M. (1792). *A vindication of the rights of woman*. J. Johnson.
96. Wollstonecraft, M. (2016). *The Complete Works of Mary Wollstonecraft*. Delphi Classics, United Kingdom.
97. Woolf, V. (2017). Mary Wollstonecraft. In *Mary Wollstonecraft* (pp. 17-24). Routledge.

Publisher's Note

The Social Science Review A Multidisciplinary Journal remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published data, map and institutional affiliations.

©The Author(s) 2025. Open Access.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>