



NAVIGATING ACADEMIC PROCRASTINATION IN THE DIGITAL AGE AMONG DIGITAL NATIVES

Suchandrika Hazra ¹ & Prof. Ravi Kant ²

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Author Details: ¹ Research Scholar, Department of Teacher Education, Central University of South Bihar, Gaya, Bihar, India; ² Professor, Department of Teacher Education, Central University of South Bihar, Gaya, Bihar, India

Corresponding Author: Suchandrika Hazra

DOI:
<https://doi.org/10.70096/tssr.240205030>

Abstract

This study explored various challenges related to academic procrastination among digital natives, a generation profoundly integrated with technology. The study seeks to investigate the impact of digital distractions, including social media and online entertainment, on task avoidance and to analyse procrastination behaviours in students. A qualitative case study methodology was employed, engaging digital natives within an academic context. This research included 20 undergraduate students from Central University of South Bihar, all of whom were digital natives. They engaged in a voluntary survey regarding their academic procrastinating behaviours, digital media usage, and study habits. The research corroborated their procrastination behaviours via interviews and the Academic Procrastination Scale (APS). A developed questionnaire evaluated the frequency and types of digital distractions experienced during study or academic duties. Procrastination among digital natives is a multifaceted problem that necessitates a thorough strategy. It is affected by psychological issues including anxiety, perfectionism, and fear of failure. To address this issue, students must be provided with time management and organisational skills by universities and educational institutions. Psychological support programs, stress management, and mindfulness workshops may prove advantageous. Educators ought to include digital wellness efforts into the curriculum and counsel students to establish boundaries on technology usage.

Keywords: *Academic Procrastination, Digital Distractions, Digital Natives, Digital technology*

Introduction

Procrastination, or the tendency to put off chores until the very last minute, has long been a problem for students. But in recent times, "digital natives", those who were born during or after the invention of digital technology and who have grown up with social media, smartphones, and the internet (Prensky, 2001), have seen a particularly acute problem with this issue. Academic expectations coupled with easily accessible digital diversions have caused this demographic to procrastinate more in their academic work, which has detrimental effects on their educational outcomes.

University students frequently struggle with academic procrastination, which can be caused by a few internal and external reasons. Three latent profiles of procrastination- low, moderate, and high were found in a study including 1784 university students in Spain. The results showed that increased procrastination is correlated with insufficient use of social media (Suárez-Perdomo et al., 2024). In a similar vein, a qualitative case study involving students with PGSD revealed that procrastination behaviours are strongly influenced by social factors, especially the need for group acceptance (Nugroho et al., 2024). Psychological aspects are also important; studies show that procrastination is significantly predicted by self-efficacy and self-control, which account for over 46% of the variation in behaviour (Affandi, 2024). Additionally, it was discovered that personality traits—extraversion in particular—negatively correlated with procrastination (Nigam & Srivastava, 2024), indicating that students who exhibit higher levels of extraversion may be less likely to put off academic assignments. Overall, these studies highlight the complexity of academic procrastination and highlight the necessity for focused therapies that take personality, social, and psychological aspects into account (Estrada-Araoz, 2023).

Through a variety of psychological mechanisms, perfectionism plays a crucial role in academic procrastination. Studies show that people with excessive perfectionism frequently suffer a fear of failing, which can result in avoidance behaviours like procrastination. For example, a study discovered that academic procrastination is strongly impacted by socially dictated perfectionism, with fear of failure serving as a full mediator in this relationship (Kim & Oh, 2024). Rumination is another

behaviour that perfectionists could partake in, which exacerbates the problem by fully mediating the relationship between evaluative concerns perfectionism and procrastination (Park & Sohn, 2024). Furthermore, perfectionism can result in inflated expectations, which can increase stress and lower motivation since people put off work to avoid facing their perceived shortcomings (Saini & Singh, 2024). Avoidance like this can start a vicious cycle of procrastination, where the stress of approaching deadlines causes hurried work and subpar results (Tarafdar & Saha, 2024). Overall, the complex dynamics that encourage students to procrastinate their academic work are highlighted by the interaction of perfectionism, fear of failure, and rumination (Ihkamuddin et al., 2024).

Procrastination in the classroom has become a more significant problem in recent years, especially for students who were raised in the digital age and are known as "digital natives." This case study looks at a group of college students that procrastinate in their coursework, ranging in age from 18 to 24. This case study intends to offer insights into the causes, consequences, and potential solutions of academic procrastination within this group by analysing their behaviours, obstacles, and treatments. The aim of this study was to explore different challenges related to academic procrastination among digital natives

Materials and Methods

Method

Using a qualitative research method, this study focused on participant self-reported data, interviews, and in-depth observations during a three-month period. Twenty undergraduate students from a university named Central University of South Bihar, situated in Gaya, Bihar, who were classified as digital natives participated in the study. Through a voluntary survey on their use of digital media and procrastination tendencies, participants were chosen.

Participants

Participants were chosen via a voluntary survey administered to undergraduate students from Central University of South Bihar. The poll requested students to self-assess their academic procrastination tendencies, digital media consumption, and general study practices. The selection criteria were predicated on the following factors:

1. **Digital Natives:** All participants were required to be born in or after 1995, categorising them as digital natives who matured with extensive access to digital technologies, encompassing the internet, smartphones, and social media.
2. **Procrastination Patterns:** Students who recognised themselves as habitual procrastinators were given precedence. Participants were required to consistently report postponing academic work, including assignments and exam preparation, despite their awareness of adverse outcomes such as stress or subpar performance.
3. **Influence of Digital Distractions:** A primary criterion was that students identified digital distractions, like social networking, gaming, or streaming services, as a substantial factor contributing to their procrastination. This guaranteed that the study concentrated on procrastination patterns exclusive to the digital era.
4. **Academic Performance and Stress Levels:** The chosen participants were required to acknowledge that procrastination adversely affected their academic performance (e.g., missed deadlines, diminished grades) or resulted in increased stress and anxiety.

From the total survey participants, 20 students who fulfilled these requirements were chosen for the study using judgemental sampling. Their procrastinating behaviours were validated through subsequent interviews, confirming alignment with the primary themes of digital distraction, time mismanagement, and psychological concerns such as perfectionism or fear of failure.

Instrument for Data Collection

The Academic Procrastination Scale (APS), created by Cakici in 2003, used to evaluate students' tendencies towards academic procrastination. Comprising 19 items, the scale includes 12 negative and 7 positive statements. The original APS demonstrated a Cronbach alpha reliability coefficient of 0.92 and received validation from external experts.

A self-developed questionnaire created to assess the prevalence and categories of digital distractions (e.g., social media, streaming, gaming) encountered by participants while endeavouring to study or fulfil academic tasks. This facilitated the assessment of how digital settings influence procrastination among digital natives. Content validity was established through consultations with experts in educational psychology and digital behaviour.

Findings

Throughout the study, various distinct behaviours associated with academic procrastination and digital distractions were noted among the participants. These behaviours were documented via self-reports, behavioural observations in research settings, and interviews. Several essential behaviours encompassed:

1. **Digital Distraction Practices**

Numerous individuals often accessed their social media accounts (e.g., Instagram, TikTok, Facebook) throughout their research sessions. This behaviour was frequently impulsive and transpired even during concentrated study periods. Students were noted engaging with Netflix, YouTube, or listening to podcasts/music while trying to study or finish

homework, resulting in fragmented attention and diminished productivity. A few of participants were unable to focus due to the incessant influx of messages from messaging applications, emails, or social media platforms. Numerous individuals reacted immediately, interrupting their workflow. Participants frequently accessed non-academic websites (e.g., news, shopping, or gaming) during study sessions, hence extending the duration required to accomplish academic activities.

2. Procrastination-Associated Behaviours

A prevalent procrastination behaviour noted was the inclination to delay the initiation of assignments or study sessions until the final moment, frequently hours or even days beyond the intended start time. Numerous participants procrastinated until shortly before the deadline to commence their assignments, leading to hasty and substandard work. This resulted in elevated stress levels and diminished quality of work. Students consistently evaded academic tasks they deemed challenging or daunting, frequently opting for simpler or unrelated activities (e.g., cleaning, organising, or casual browsing) to spend their time. Certain students, especially those exhibiting perfectionist traits, incessantly altered or corrected their work without achieving significant advancement. This resulted in hours of meticulous adjustments to minor things while neglecting the essential components of their tasks.

3. Affective and Cognitive Behaviours

Numerous students exhibited anxiety-driven behaviours, including the persistent avoidance of initiating assignments due to fear of failure or inability to fulfil elevated requirements. This evasion frequently resulted in heightened stress and subsequent burnout. Several participants articulated self-doubt and criticism throughout activities, frequently concentrating on their perceived inadequacy, which exacerbated procrastination. Students exhibited over confidence in their capacity to accomplish tasks rapidly. They delayed their tasks, convinced they could "complete them later," while underestimating the time required for effective completion of duties.

4. Inefficient Time Management Practices

Participants frequently lacked a set work schedule, resulting in erratic and chaotic study periods. They oscillated between jobs without a definitive focus, resulting in inefficiency and time squandered. Numerous students participated in "task bingeing," when they concentrated extensive workloads into a single, frequently nocturnal session, instead of distributing it over a feasible timeframe. Numerous individuals engaged in frequent, prolonged breaks throughout their study or work sessions, often rationalised as a necessity for "refreshment," but in actuality, serving as a means to evade demanding duties. The observed behaviours elucidated the procrastinating habits of digital natives, underscoring the significant impact of digital settings and psychological characteristics such as anxiety, perfectionism, and overconfidence on time management.

Discussion

This study reveals a complicated interaction among psychological factors, contextual influences, and personal habits that lead to academic procrastination in digital natives. The digital landscape, characterised by incessant connectedness and distraction, significantly influences these behaviours, alongside entrenched psychological patterns including perfectionism, fear of failure, and diminished self-regulation.

1. Digital Distraction Behaviours

The study indicates that digital distractions were widespread among participants, with technology serving as both an instrument and an impediment to work. Multiple distinct digital behaviours were identified that impeded the participants' capacity to maintain concentration on academic assignments. Regular Monitoring of social media: This conduct exemplifies habitual impulsivity, as individuals intuitively peruse social media feeds despite being cognisant of the necessity to concentrate on their academic pursuits. This impulsive behaviour is motivated by the brain's reward system, each notification or "like" delivers a dopamine surge ("Emergence of Predictive Networks," 2023), thereby reinforcing the habit. This incessant demand for instant gratification diverts individuals from long-term objectives such as academic achievement. Research show that students are readily distracted by digital stimuli, adversely affecting their performance in academic environments (S & Jayanthiladevi, 2023). Furthermore, digital natives are intricately integrated into a culture characterised by immediate connectivity and social affirmation, which cultivates FOMO (fear of missing out) (Kincl & Štrach, 2021). Students had a compulsion to maintain connectivity, resulting in divided focus and extended durations for task completion. Simultaneous Engagement with Entertainment Media: Engaging in multitasking activities, such as viewing Netflix or listening to podcasts during study sessions, indicates cognitive overload (Hirachan et al., 2022). Participants frequently assumed they could reconcile entertainment with academic responsibilities; however, the division of focus resulted in reduced understanding and recall of academic content. Studies indicate that multitasking in educational settings frequently leads to superficial cognitive processing, as the brain struggles to transition properly between different tasks (Lopez & Orr, 2022). This behaviour signifies a profound issue of avoidance, students utilised media to psychologically evade tough or monotonous academic assignments, thereby postponing task completion and heightening the likelihood of procrastination. Persistent Phone Notifications and Engagement with Irrelevant Websites: The failure to disregard phone notifications or refrain from visiting irrelevant websites indicates deficient self-regulation and attention management (Throuvala et al., 2021). The incessant engagement with external digital stimuli impaired the pupils' concentration, resulting in task-switching and diminished efficiency. This behaviour corresponds with transitioning between activities leaves a portion of the mind preoccupied with the prior task, hence diminishing cognitive efficiency.

Students encountered difficulties in refocusing following distractions, frequently leading to extended durations for completing academic tasks. The continual accessibility of digital content generates a cycle of distraction, hindering pupils from achieving prolonged intervals of focused concentration.

2. Behaviours Associated with Procrastination

The individuals' procrastination behaviours exemplify diverse psychological challenges, such as overconfidence, evasion of negative feelings, and perfectionism.

Postponing Task Commencement: This tendency to defer the initiation of academic tasks exemplifies a delay discounting issue, wherein individuals disproportionately prioritise immediate gratifications such as leisure, amusement, or stress evasion while underappreciating the long-term advantages of prompt task fulfilment. Participants postponed task initiation until the final moment due to a preference for immediate gratification, frequently leading to hurried and worse quality work. This pertains to task aversion, wherein students refrained from initiating work owing to worry, fear of failure, or a deficiency in motivation (Schraw et al. 2007). Tasks deemed challenging, undesirable, or daunting were consistently deferred, resulting in heightened stress when deadlines loomed.

Urgent Task Completion and Recurrent Task Evasion: This trend indicates that numerous students exhibit "cramming" behaviours, depending on high-pressure circumstances to finalise assignments at the eleventh hour. This method, although occasionally effective, heightened stress and reduced work quality (Tice & Baumeister 1997). Repeated avoidance demonstrates emotion-focused coping strategies (Sirois & Kitner, 2015), when students evaded tasks that induced discomfort, anxiety, or feelings of inadequacy. Instead of addressing bad feelings constructively, they pursued instant alleviation via procrastination. This avoidance frequently arises from a deficiency in confidence or an apprehension of failure, perpetuating a cycle of procrastination that is challenging to disrupt.

Perfectionism-Induced Revisions: Perfectionist participants exhibited excessive editing and revision without achieving significant advancement on the fundamental tasks. This behaviour originates from maladaptive perfectionism, characterised by students establishing unreasonably elevated standards for their work. Perfectionists frequently encounter fear of criticism or fear of failure, resulting in procrastination in task completion due to apprehensions that the work will be inadequate (Sirois, 2013). Procrastination induced by perfectionism is frequently associated with analysis paralysis, wherein students invest excessive time in analysing, refining, and rewriting details, so hindering substantial progress. This not only hinders their productivity but also exacerbates stress and feelings of inadequacy, since perfectionists perpetually perceive themselves as failing to meet their expectations (Sirois, 2013).

3. Emotional and Psychological Conduct

The emotional aspects of procrastination identified in participants demonstrate a profound relationship among self-worth, anxiety, and academic achievement.

Anxiety-Induced Avoidance: Students who evaded tasks owing to anxiety experienced anticipatory anxiety, concern regarding the potential for failure or subpar performance far in advance of commencing the task. This procrastination, driven by emotion, is prevalent among students who experience performance-related stress. The concern subsequently transforms into a self-fulfilling prophesy; evading the task amplifies pressure as the deadline nears, rendering the labour increasingly difficult. Fear of failure and perfectionism are fundamental to this behaviour (Stoeber & Otto, 2006), as students perceive their self-worth as contingent upon their academic performance. To evade the possibility of failing to fulfil their own or others' expectations, individuals refrain from participating in academic endeavours, hence perpetuating the cycle of procrastination.

Introspective Discourses: Certain students adopted a self-critical narrative, articulating uncertainties over their academic competencies and value. This behaviour is associated with low self-efficacy, when persons perceive themselves as unable to accomplish things to an acceptable quality. This mentality frequently intensifies procrastination as students dread validating their perceived deficiencies (Klassen et al., 2007). These internal discussions might establish negative reinforcement loops, wherein procrastination serves as a mechanism to evade confronting these severe self-assessments. This avoidance frequently exacerbates emotions of failure and inadequacy, so worsening the cycle of procrastination.

Overconfidence in Time Management: Overconfidence in time management, exemplified by students illustrates the planning fallacy, the propensity to underestimate the duration required to accomplish a task. Students who perceive they may complete things "later" frequently miscalculate the time required and thus become inundated when deadlines near (Nordby et al., 2017). This false confidence fosters procrastination as students believe they can simply "recover" lost time, hence underestimating task complexity and the effects of distractions.

4. Unproductive Time Management Practices

Participants exhibited inadequate planning, disorganisation, and an inability to organise their work effectively.

Disordered Work Schedules and Task Overindulgence: Numerous participants lacked set work schedules, leading to disorganised, unfocused study sessions. The absence of routine hindered students from developing stable work habits, resulting in task bingeing, characterised by the accumulation of substantial work during last-minute sessions. This indicates a deficiency in executive functioning skills, including planning, prioritisation, and task management, which are essential for academic achievement. In the absence of a definitive strategy, students experienced an inundation of assignments and deadlines, resulting in anxiety-fuelled cramming sessions that diminished the quality of their output and adversely affected their well-being.

Breaks Induced by Procrastination: Numerous students rationalised frequent and extended breaks during their work sessions to "refresh" or "reset." Nonetheless, these breaks were frequently utilised to evade challenging or undesirable

jobs. This behaviour is associated with procrastination by micro-distractions, wherein students partake in ostensibly innocuous pauses that extend work duration and impair concentration.

The observed behaviours demonstrate the significant influence of digital surroundings on academic procrastination among digital natives, who are particularly susceptible to the incessant diversions present online. Psychological issues, like fear of failure, perfectionism, and inadequate self-regulation, exacerbate their capacity to concentrate and manage time efficiently. The interplay of external (digital) and internal (psychological) variables exacerbates procrastination, establishing a loop that gets progressively challenging to disrupt without specific interventions. Addressing these behaviours necessitates a comprehensive strategy that confronts both digital distractions and the underlying emotional or cognitive challenges.

This study examined the theoretical and empirical issues of procrastination among digital natives by:

- Employing real-time behavioural data to substantiate the impact of digital distractions on procrastination.
- Providing an in-depth examination of the interaction between psychological and digital elements, enhancing both theoretical and empirical comprehension of procrastination in the digital era.

Conclusion

The case study's results demonstrate the complexity of academic procrastination among digital natives. Digital diversions are a major factor, but procrastination is also a result of underlying psychological problems including worry, perfectionism, and failure dread. Students frequently confront significant psychological and environmental obstacles that impede their academic achievement rather than being lazy or indifferent. Students should receive instruction in efficient time management and organisational techniques from universities and educational institutions. Students can organise their work more efficiently by using time-blocking strategies and project management applications. To help students deal with anxiety, perfectionism, and other underlying issues that contribute to procrastination, educational institutions should provide psychological support services. Stress management and mindfulness workshops could also be helpful. Teachers want to think about including digital wellness initiatives into the curriculum and advising pupils to establish limits on their usage of technology. Within the population of digital natives, academic procrastination is a complicated problem that calls for an all-encompassing strategy to be addressed. It is possible for educators, counsellors, and students themselves to design techniques to reduce procrastination and improve academic success provided they have a thorough awareness of the specific issues that are experienced by this group. This study offers a novel viewpoint on academic procrastination by analysing it within digital contexts and emphasising technology-induced postponement behaviours. It connects conventional psychological principles with the obstacles presented by contemporary digital distractions, providing practical remedies customised for the distinct experiences of digital natives. Consequently, it signifies a substantial advancement in comprehending and fighting procrastination in the contemporary digital era.

Acknowledgement: Not Applicable

Authors Contribution: *Suchandrika Hazra:* Data Collection, Literature Review, Methodology, Analysis, Drafting, Referencing; *Prof. Ravi Kant:* Literature Review, Methodology, Analysis.

Funding: No funding.

Declarations/Consent for Publication: All the authors have given a consent for the publication.

Competing Interest: No

References

1. Affandi, G. R. (2024). Key Insights on Academic Procrastination for Global Scholars. *Indonesian Journal of Education Methods Development*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.21070/ijemd.v19i1.812>
2. Emergence of Predictive Networks. (2023). In *The MIT Press eBooks* (pp. 117–148). <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/14723.003.0009>
3. Estrada-Araoz, E. G. (2023). Academic procrastination in students at a private university in the Peruvian Amazon: A cross-sectional study. *Gaceta Médica De Caracas*, 131(3). <https://doi.org/10.47307/gmc.2023.131.3.4>
4. Haghbin, M., McCaffrey, A., & Pychyl, T. A. (2012). The Complexity of the Relation between Fear of Failure and Procrastination. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 30(4), 249–263. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10942-012-0153-9>
5. Hirachan, N., Mathews, A., Romero, J., & Rojas, R. F. (2022). Measuring Cognitive Workload Using Multimodal Sensors. *2022 44th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society (EMBC)*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/embc48229.2022.9871308>
6. Ihkamuddin, M., Ihsan, N. A., & Ni'matuzahroh, N. (2024). Perfectionism as Causes of Academic Procrastination at Collage?: A Sytematic Review. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management (IJSRM)*, 12(07), 56–63. <https://doi.org/10.18535/ijssrm/v12i07.gp02>

7. Kim, S., & Oh, E. (2024). The Effect of Socially Prescribed Perfectionism of High School Students on Academic Procrastination: Moderated Mediation of Social Supports by Fear of Failure. *Korean Association for Learner-Centered Curriculum and Instruction*, 24(10), 147–166. <https://doi.org/10.22251/jlcci.2024.24.10.147>
8. Kincl, T., & Štrach, P. (2021). Born digital: Is there going to be a new culture of digital natives? *Journal of Global Scholars of Marketing Science*, 31(1), 30–48. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21639159.2020.1808811>
9. Klassen, R. M., Krawchuk, L. L., & Rajani, S. (2007). Academic procrastination of undergraduates: Low self-efficacy to self-regulate predicts higher levels of procrastination. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 33(4), 915–931. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2007.07.001>
10. Lopez, J. J., & Orr, J. M. (2022). Effects of media multitasking frequency on a novel volitional multitasking paradigm. *PeerJ*, 10, e12603. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.12603>
11. Nigam, A., & Srivastava, N. (2024). Relationship between Personality Traits and Academic Procrastination among College Students: A Correlational Study. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 6(3). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i03.19651>
12. Nordby, K., Klingsieck, K. B., & Svartdal, F. (2017). Do procrastination-friendly environments make students delay unnecessarily? *Social Psychology of Education*, 20(3), 491–512. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-017-9386-x>
13. Nugroho, F. a. W., Ningsih, N. M. S., Az-Zahrah, S. S., & Fajrie, N. (2024). Prokrastinasi Akademik dalam Pergaulan Mahasiswa PGSD. *Pustaka Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Budaya*, 24(1), 33. <https://doi.org/10.24843/pjiib.2024.v24.i01.p06>
14. Park, J. T., & Sohn, E. J. (2024). The Effects of Evaluative Concerns Perfectionism on Academic Procrastination through Rumination: The Moderated Mediating Effect of Self-Compassion. *The Association of Korea Counseling Psychology Education Welfare*, 11(2), 73–92. <https://doi.org/10.20496/cpew.2024.11.2.73>
15. Prensky, M. (2001). Digital Natives, Digital Immigrants Part 1. *On The Horizon the International Journal of Learning Futures*, 9(5), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10748120110424816>
16. S, K., & Jayanthiladevi, A. (2023). Machine Learning-Based Analysis on Digital Distractions and Academic Performance of Online Engagement. *IARJSET*, 10(12). <https://doi.org/10.17148/iarjset.2023.101226>
17. Saini, J. K., & Singh, M. (2024). The Relationship Between Procrastination and Perfectionism. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i02.16744>
18. Schraw, G., Wadkins, T., & Olafson, L. (2007). Doing the things we do: A grounded theory of academic procrastination. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99(1), 12–25. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.99.1.12>
19. Sirois, F. M. (2013). Procrastination and Stress: Exploring the Role of Self-compassion. *Self and Identity*, 13(2), 128–145. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15298868.2013.763404>
20. Sirois, F. M., & Kitner, R. (2015). Less Adaptive or More Maladaptive? A Meta-analytic Investigation of Procrastination and Coping. *European Journal of Personality*, 29(4), 433–444. <https://doi.org/10.1002/per.1985>
21. Stoeber, J., & Otto, K. (2006). Positive Conceptions of Perfectionism: Approaches, Evidence, Challenges. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 10(4), 295–319. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr1004_2
22. Suárez-Perdomo, A., Garcés-Delgado, Y., & Arvelo-Rosales, C. N. (2024). Academic procrastination and inappropriate use of social networks among university students. *Studies in Higher Education*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2024.2373190>
23. Tarafdar, A., & Saha, S. (2024). A Descriptive Study on Locus of Control, Perfectionism, and Academic Procrastination among young adults. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 6(4). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i04.24241>
24. Throuvala, M. A., Pontes, H. M., Tsaousis, I., Griffiths, M. D., Rennoldson, M., & Kuss, D. J. (2021). Exploring the Dimensions of Smartphone Distraction: Development, Validation, Measurement Invariance, and Latent Mean Differences of the Smartphone Distraction Scale (SDS). *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2021.642634>
25. Tice, D. M., & Baumeister, R. F. (1997). Longitudinal study of procrastination, performance, stress, and health: The costs and benefits of dawdling. *Psychological Science*, 8(6), 454–458. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.1997.tb00460.x>

Publisher's Note

The Social Science Review A Multidisciplinary Journal remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published data, map and institutional affiliations.

©The Author(s) 2024. Open Access.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>